G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7 14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1892-3
~~191~~ .
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 332

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the petition of Kere Lande Subbaiah setting forth certain charges of fraud & misappropriation against Hulyar Viswesvariah, Manager of the Bigga temple, Koppa Taluk

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES

(General Serial No 30)

1893

From

C

To

The Dy Comr.
Kadur Dt.

Dated 21st

Despatche 23rd January 93

Received

Enclosures No 1

General No. 404
No.

In forwarding a petition dated 21st January 1893 from Kere dhanda Subbaya alleging certain serious charges of fraud and misappropriation against Hulugara Venkateswariah, the Manager of the Rubhya Shri ~~Geswariah, the Manager~~ of Jeswara Temple at Kigga, Koppa Taluk, has the honor to request that the Dt Inspl Officer or other ~~responsible~~ Officer

from the Sameno 1483 dy 1/5/93

Com for
~~Officer~~ may be deputed
to enquire into the
case, and to submit
an early report.

By order
A. A. J.
~~Secretary~~.

(General Serial No. 30.)



457

1893

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore. Mazaraji Dept

Dated Camp Yedebally 1st May.

Despatched 4th May.

Received 5

Enclosures No. 1 and 1 file of Vernacular Papers.

General No. 1483 1892-93

Submitting report on the petition of one Veredande Subba Rau against Kuggia temple manager Hulgar Visveswariah.

Refer 6/5/93

To the same No 793 D 9/5/93

No 1483

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Kadur District
Dated Camp Yedahalli 1st May. 93.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To

The Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore
Mujrai department
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No 404 of 21st January last, forwarding for enquiry and report one Keredande Sooba Row's petition against Kigga Temple Manager Anlgar Visweswariah, I have the honor to State that on the 22d Ultimo, I Camped at Kigga and held an Enquiry into the allegations brought forward by him. I also enquired into another Complaint brought by Adigaru Singa Bhatt, an archik of the temple against

against the Vahivatdar
alleging that he had misappropriated part of the 15/- Ordered
to be Spent by Government at the
birth of the last Prince.

As regards the 1st Complaint
I find that up to a year and a half
ago no dabbi was kept at the temple,
but on examining the accounts I
found entries of the Kanakics received
prior to the keeping of the dabbi & the
Complainant could bring forward
no proof of his allegation that
Vishnoo Vaniah had misappropriated
the amount, and in the absence of
all proof to the Contrary it would
be impossible to prove by the accounts
alone that Vishnoo Vaniah had
misappropriated the money.

I examined some of the ryots
holding temple lands, as also the
Patel and they also stated that
they had no Complaints to make
whatsoever

whatsoever nor had they heard of any These ryots were taken at random and there was no reason to believe that they were tutored in any way. Neither could I find any proof of Viswesvariah having extorted them produce in any way

As regards the Complaint of Vishwesvariahs unauthorizedly annexing lands to his own use, the Complainant produced one witness Shankra Joisa who stated that his lands had been annexed and sold by auction on account of his failing to pay Kandayam, that he had been justly dealt with & had no Complaint to make I could find no other Complaints on this matter and the Complainant Could produce no other

4 On examining the list of Temple Servants I find there are 56 in all Some of whom were absent on leave and those present were doing their work for them. I examined

Large

I examined a large
Servants present, and they all
that they received their pay regularly
and had no Complaints to make the
Complainant Could produce no proof
to the Contrary.

I examined the books alleged by
the Complainant to have had pages
torn out and Substituted, and
Could find no trace of any such.
also found it impossible to do
this without detection and on
pointing this out to the Complain-
ant he admitted that he was
satisfied that the books had not
been tampered with

As regards the last allegation
regarding Provisions, the Complainant
Could produce no proof whatsoever
although every opportunity was
given and I Could find no proof of
his allegation

On the Complainant being asked
if he had anything further to state

he

in the negative and who asked ——— why he made these charges without proof or witnesses to Corroborate his Statement, although ample time had been given him to bring them he Stated that he was a poor man from South Canara and had no friends

After holding a full enquiry and hearing all that the Complainant had to say, I came to the Conclusion that the Charges brought were false and that it was merely an attempt made to get Vishweswariah into trouble by the fact. This is more apparent that sometime ago the Complainant filed a Civil Suit in the Yedaballi Munsiffs Court against Vishweswariah, when the decree was given in the latter's favor in July-1891 Upon this the Complainant wrote a petition of this nature to the Deputy Commissioner (Col Campbell), and appealed against the Munsiffs decision

decision to the District

a retrial when the decree

was again given in Visweswaraygya's favour

in January 93. and it was then that

the Complainant forwarded his petition

to Government I am therefore inclined

to believe that these Complaints are

brought by the Complainant through

Spite to Visweswaraygya who is a very

influential man in this part of the

Country and as such must undoubtedly

have many enemies.

As regards the 2d Complaint of

Adigala Sinja Bhatt, after examining

all the witnesses he had to produce

in the matter, I came to the Conclusion

that there was no truth in it as all

the witnesses stated that part of

the amount had been spent on the

temple, such as decorating the

deity, offerings and lightings, and the

balance Spent for feeding at the

Chattram. It was found that only

Rs 1 - were ordered to be spent by the

Mirzoi department and the accounts show that proper vouchers have been received for this amount.

The Complainant Adigalu Sanga Bhatt having sent in his resignation to the Amildar, he was asked if he still wished to resign and on his replying in the affirmative, his resignation as Archik of the temple was accepted, as it did not seem advisable to keep an unwilling servant in any service against his will.

The petition received with your docket under reply and my notes of enquiry together with the vernacular papers finding with the Koppa Amildar's No 81 of 20th ultimo relating to K Sooba Bose (Complainant are submitted for perusal and kind return.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

H H Sparkes
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

D.K.J.
A.S.

ನಿಶಿ
758

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಮಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು

ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವಚನ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ

ಸಂ. 758

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಮಿತಿ ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಾಯಕ ಚುನಾವಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಘೋಷಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಮಿತಿಗಳ ಮತ್ತು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆಯಾಗಿರುವ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ 654 ಮಂದಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಇಂದು ದಿನಾಂಕ 21ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ 1964 ರಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ 10 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಮೈಸೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಭಾಂಗಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಶಿವಣ್ಣ ಅವರ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಮಾಣವಚನ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದರು.

ಪ್ರಮಾಣವಚನ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದ ಸದಸ್ಯರ ಹೆಸರುಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಮಿತಿ

1. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಶಿವಣ್ಣ
2. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
3. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
4. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
5. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
6. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
7. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
8. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
9. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
10. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
11. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
12. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
13. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
14. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
15. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
16. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
17. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
18. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
19. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
20. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
21. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
22. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
23. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
24. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
25. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
26. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
27. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
28. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
29. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
30. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
31. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
32. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
33. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
34. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
35. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
36. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
37. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
38. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
39. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
40. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
41. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
42. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
43. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
44. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
45. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
46. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
47. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
48. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
49. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
50. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
51. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
52. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
53. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
54. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
55. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
56. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
57. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
58. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
59. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
60. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
61. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
62. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
63. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
64. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
65. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
66. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
67. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
68. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
69. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
70. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
71. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
72. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
73. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
74. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
75. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
76. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
77. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
78. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
79. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
80. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
81. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
82. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
83. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
84. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
85. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
86. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
87. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
88. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
89. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
90. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
91. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
92. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
93. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
94. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
95. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
96. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
97. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
98. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
99. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ
100. ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯ

Copy to the Dy Commr
Kanara District - in reply
this letter no: 1483 dated
1st May 1878, returning
the Vernacular papers.

MUZARAYI.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1893.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur Dt

Dated 9 May 1893.

Despatched 10th

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & file

General No. 793
Muzarayi. F. No.

His Letter No. 1483 of the 1st Instant reporting on the petition of one Keredande Subba Rao, ~~addressed~~ setting forth certain charges of fraud and mis-appropriation against Kulyar Viswesvariah, manager of the Hegga Temple, Kopla Taluk.

With reference to the above has the honor to forward herewith for information Copy of an endorsement

office [illegible] Vex

2. The Enclosures received with his Letter under reply are herewith returned.

"By order

AS

Secretary

CN.